



**Sun Valley General Improvement District
Board Meeting Minutes of
April 28, 2016**

Board Members Present:

Sandra Ainsworth	Chairperson
Susan Severt	Vice Chair
Margaret Reinhardt	Secretary
Garth Elliott	Treasurer

Board Members Not Present:

Joseph Barstow	Trustee
----------------	---------

Staff Present:

Darrin Price	SVGID, General Manager
Chris Melton	SVGID, Field Supervisor
Erin Dowling	SVGID, Customer Service Supervisor
Jennifer Merritt	SVGID, Administrative Assistant
Maddy Shipman	SVGID, Legal Counsel

Other Members Present:

Carmen Ortiz	Audience
Glenda Walls	Audience
Michael Rider	Sun Valley Cal Ripken
Wes Henderson	Nevada League of Cities & Municipalities

The meeting of the Sun Valley General Improvement District was called to order by Chairperson Ainsworth at 6:00 pm in the Sun Valley District Administrative Building, 5000 Sun Valley Blvd., Sun Valley, NV.

Item# 1. Roll call and determination of a quorum.

Board members present; Chairperson Ainsworth, Vice Chair Severt, Secretary Reinhardt, and Treasurer Elliott. A quorum was present.

Item# 2. Pledge of Allegiance.

Led by Mr. Price.

Item# 3. Public comments for items not on the agenda.

None

Item# 4. Motion to approve the agenda.

Treasurer Elliott made a motion to approve the agenda. Vice Chair Severt seconded the motion. The motion carried unanimously.

Item# 5. Certify posting of the agenda.

Jennifer Merritt certified posting of the agenda.

Item# 6. Trustee/Manager's announcements, request for information, and statements relating to items not on the agenda.

Mr. Price announced the District will be holding its 4th Annual Dive Into Summer Friday, June 10th, 2016 at the Sun Valley Community Park with a movie in the park featuring Disney film Inside Out. The Sun Valley Citizen Advisor Boards next meeting is Monday, May 2nd, 2016 at the Sun Valley Neighborhood Center starting at 5:30 pm. The Keep Truckee Meadows Beautiful Great Community Cleanup is Saturday, April 30th, 2016 starting at 8 am at the Sun Valley OHV located off of Chimney Drive and a secondary site cleanup located on Debbusy.

Treasurer Elliott reported Washoe County Commission discussed cargo containers at their last meeting. The Washoe County Commissioners eliminated the permit requirements and Health Department inspections for an individual to have a cargo container on their property. He reported his friend that is an Iraqi Official, stated that Syrian military men are coming in to Iraq and Syria and murdering thousands of individuals; then they change their looks and get involved with immigration moving in to Europe. He also reported on a Publish Clearing House scam that has impacted a Sun Valley resident.

Item# 7. Discussion and motion to approve accounts payable and customer refunds for April 28, 2016.

Treasurer Elliott gave a brief report of the accounts payable for April 28, 2016.

Treasurer Elliott made a motion to approve the accounts payable for April 28, 2016 in the total amount of \$347,238.79. Vice Chair Severt seconded the motion. After discussion the motion carried unanimously.

Treasurer Elliott gave a brief report of the customer refunds for April 28, 2016.

Treasurer Elliott made a motion to approve the customer refunds for April 28, 2016 in the total amount of \$260.86. Vice Chair Severt seconded the motion. The motion carried unanimously.

There were no public comments.

Item# 8. Discussion and motion to approve Board minutes of April 07, 2016.

Vice Chair Severt made a motion to approve the Board minutes of April 07, 2016 as submitted. Treasurer Elliott seconded the motion. The motion carried unanimously.

There were no public comments.

Item# 9. Presentation by Wes Henderson with Nevada League of Cities and Municipalities regarding League assistance and future Legislative Bill Draft Requests; with possible direction to staff.

Mr. Price reported the District were members of the Nevada League of Cities and Municipalities. Mr. Price contacted the Nevada League of Cities and Municipalities at the request of Treasurer Elliott. Treasurer Elliott had an inquiry regarding the upcoming Legislative Session and potential Bill Draft Requests.

Wes Henderson, Executive Director for Nevada League of Cities and Municipalities gave a brief history of the Nevada League of Cities and Municipalities formation. One of the primary goals of the Nevada League of Cities and Municipalities is to foster periodical conferences of city officials; disseminate knowledge of municipal affairs and government; to circulate publications on municipal affairs; to secure harmony of action among cities; and to render technical information and other services to the cities. He reported in 1995 the Nevada League of Cities and Municipalities amended their Bylaws to include membership of towns, general improvement districts, and other municipal organizations. Nevada League of Cities and Municipalities statement is, "The Nevada League of Cities and Municipalities is a professional association that serves as the state's primary champion of local government. As a statewide vehicle for collaboration, the League promotes positive and continuous community development through public policy formulation, advocacy, shared data and timely communication." He reported on changes among the structure of the Nevada League of Cities and Municipalities. He stated the prior structure, only cities were members of the League and towns and general improvement districts were considered affiliate members. The Executive Committee was made up of officers, a president, first, second, and third vice president, secretary, treasurer and past president. The only position a member of a town or a general improvement district could be elected to was the third vice president with no opportunity to progress up the leadership. Under the new structure, if you are a member of the Nevada League of Cities and Municipalities you are a member of the League; if you are a member of the elected body that member can run for any elected position and are given the opportunity to progress up leadership. He briefly reported on the responsibilities of the elected members.

Mr. Henderson stated the Nevada League of Cities and Municipalities is an extra set of eyes, ears, and a voice for municipalities in Nevada. Nevada League of Cities and Municipalities tries to stay up to date at the State level, watch what is going on at the Federal level, and make sure municipal leaders are informed on what is going on as well as advocate for the municipalities. He stated the Nevada League of Cities and Municipalities is authorized to submit five Bill Draft Requests each Legislative Session. Last year the Nevada League of Cities and Municipalities was successful getting three Bill Draft Requests passed. Mr. Henderson provided a proposal for consideration regarding the District joining the Nevada League of Cities and Municipalities. The annual dues are based on the assessment and per capita assessment of the District. The base assessment is adjusted annually by CPI for the previous calendar year. The total estimated dues for the District for FY 2016-2017 is \$7,104.89 dollars.

Secretary Reinhardt inquired when the District discontinued its membership with the Nevada League of Cities and Municipalities. She recalls going to some of the Nevada League of Cities and Municipalities conferences recently.

Mr. Price responded the District was members of the Nevada League of Cities and Municipalities and during that time the District took advantage of the Health Insurance Pool. Several years ago the District canceled its membership with the Nevada League of Cities

and Municipalities after receiving a significant cost savings by purchasing the District's health insurance independently.

Mr. Henderson responded the Nevada League of Cities and Municipalities still offers a Health Insurance Pool. Due to the Affordable Care Act, it has made it difficult to negotiate health insurance rates for the pool. He stated small organizations, such as the District who have 15 or less employees, are required to be rated separately from the pool. He also stated anyone is eligible to attend a Nevada League of Cities and Municipalities Conference, most likely the District attended a Joint Conference with the Nevada League of Cities and Municipalities and the Nevada Association of Counties.

Mr. Price reported the District also utilized the Nevada League of Cities and Municipalities legislative networking opportunities.

Treasurer Elliott inquired what percentage of the local government participates with the Nevada League of Cities and Municipalities.

Mr. Wes responded all of the cities within the State of Nevada with the exception of Carson City are members of the Nevada League of Cities and Municipalities. He stated there are not many towns that are members and there is six general improvement districts that are members. He stated Carson City is unique because they are not only considered a city, but they are also a county. Carson City has set aside funds to rejoin the Nevada League of Cities and Municipalities. His goal is to recruit more towns and general improvement districts.

Treasurer Elliott inquired if the Board was to approve becoming members of the Nevada League of Cities and Municipalities where in the budget would the funds come from since it was not budgeted for in this FY 2015-2016 year budget.

Mr. Price responded he would have to look at the District's current FY 2015-2016 budget to determine if there were funds available, if not then there would be a need to augment the budget. Should the Board consider approving membership with the Nevada League of Cities and Municipalities after July 2016, the Board could budget for it in the upcoming FY 2016-2017 budget.

Mr. Henderson reported he has been authorized to offer the District a onetime 25% discount for new membership at time of signup regardless what time of year it is, the discount is good for one year.

Treasurer Elliott stated he thinks the District already has enough representation legislatively and would not be in favor of a Nevada League of Cities and Municipalities membership at this time. He does not believe there are enough other amenities the Nevada League of Cities and Municipalities offers to justify the membership dues.

The Board thanked Mr. Henderson for his presentation.

There were no public comments.

At 6:29 pm the Public Meeting closed for a Public Hearing

Item# 10. Discussion and action to approve the collection of outstanding delinquent balances on closed customer accounts as of February 29, 2016.

Chairperson Ainsworth read into the record the Order of Procedure for the District's Public Hearing. Secretary Reinhardt provided proof of publication for the Public Hearing.

Ms. Dowling provided a Report for Collection on the County Tax Roll of delinquent balances on closed customer accounts as of February 29, 2016. She stated accounts with less than \$1 owing are not reflected on the report. The report started with 32 closed customer accounts totaling \$3,448.51 in delinquent balances. Since the issuance of the report, 8 accounts have been removed and she anticipates more will be removed prior to the District submitting the final report to the Washoe County Treasurer in June 2016. After the report has been filed with the Washoe County Treasurer the District is no longer able to collect from the customer, it must be collected by the County Tax Roll.

Ms. Dowling gave a brief historical comparison of collection of delinquent balances on closed customers. The first year 2014, the District had 116 on the initial list at the public hearing and only 50 were actually submitted to the County. In 2015, the District had 38 on the initial list at the public hearing and only 14 were actually submitted to the County. To date 2016, the District only has 24 closed customers on the initial list at the public hearing. She stated if the Board would like to proceed with no changes to the report, then the motion would be to adopt the attached Report for Collection on the County Tax Roll and adoption of Resolution 1602. If the Boards decided to amend or change the report, the motion would be to direct that the proposed changes be made to the report and a resolution brought back reflecting said changes at the next meeting.

Secretary Reinhardt inquired if all the property owners have been sufficiently notified of the District's process to collect any unpaid balances on closed accounts.

Ms. Dowling responded the District has notified all the property owners with a closed account with a delinquent balance by mail. She also stated the District notifies the property owners and/or customers on a monthly basis via a monthly bill for any delinquent balance until the account is paid in full.

Treasurer Elliott stated Washoe County recently had their Lien Sale and any of the accounts the District files with the County would become a Lien Sale. He stated it could take up to a year prior to the District receiving any collections from the Lien Sale. He inquired if the District's scheduling of the collection of delinquent balances should be earlier in the year so that the District does not have to wait a full year.

Ms. Shipman responded the District's collection process for delinquent accounts is not considered a lien on the property. Any delinquent balances that the District files with Washoe County Treasurer gets added to their property tax bill, that is mailed out in July for the first quarter property taxes. The District gets paid when the property owners pays their property tax bill.

There were no public comments.

Chairperson closed the Public Hearing at 6:36 pm

Secretary Reinhardt made a motion to adopt Resolution 1602 and approve the Report for Collection on the Washoe County Tax Roll of delinquent balances on closed customer accounts. Chairperson Ainsworth seconded the motion. The motion carried unanimously.

Item# 11. Sun Valley General Improvement District Tariff Rule 20 Review Committee update and review of committee recommendations with possible action to accept committee recommendations.

Ms. Shipman provided a proposed amended District Tariff Rule 20 that the Review Committee recommended, with the minor change to include duplexes on single family residentially zoned property. She stated the rule has not changed for commercial properties or mobile home parks. She stated any single family residential property that has a multiple unit prior to 1998 is considered grandfathered if the proposed Tariff Rule 20 is adopted. Any property owner would have to provide the District with proof of some kind, as indicated in the Rule, that the multiple unit was established prior to 1998, in order to keep the multiple unit without splitting services.

Secretary Reinhardt made a motion to approve the District Tariff Rule 20 Review Committees recommendations as submitted. Treasurer Elliott seconded the motion.

During discussion Secretary Reinhardt stated she is a District customer and property owner that was potentially affected by the proposed Tariff Rule 20 changes in December 2015. As a property owner with a guest house on her property, she does not believe that the recommended Rule will affect her.

The motion carried unanimously.

There were no public comments.

Item# 12. Review of the District Garbage Assistance Program with possible action/direction to staff regarding eligibility criteria; and possible program parameters regarding customer past due balances and maximum arrears amounts.

Ms. Dowling provided a brief history of the District's Garbage Assistance Program including qualification process. She stated the customer must meet with District staff to bring in the appropriate documentation along with their most recent Waste Management bill. At that time both staff and the customer call Waste Management to request the billing address be changed to the District's address; at which time the District will start paying the bill only (the District is not allowed to make any changes on a customer's account). When a customer is withdrawn from the program, it is the responsibility of the customer to contact Waste Management to update the billing address back to their own, including if they move out of the Sun Valley area.

Ms. Dowling reported staff has sent out the annual renewal notices to those customer already enrolled in the Garbage Assistance Program to ensure their eligibility for continued participation in the Program. Since the beginning of the 2016 calendar year, the District has enrolled 7 new families in the Program. From the 90 letters sent out to existing Program participants, 11 participants have been removed because they no longer are eligible for the Program, 68 participants have re-enrolled in the Program, and the District is still waiting for a response back and/or submittal of eligibility documentation from the remainder 16 participants for the Program.

Ms. Dowling stated the District has recently received some requests to pay a large amount of arrears, staff believes this should be determined by the Board. Staff is seeking direction from the Board regarding customers past due balances of their Waste Management bills;

should the District pay customer past due balances on the customer's behalf? If so, what should the maximum past due amount be? Should this information be stated on the Garbage Assistance Program Form?

Secretary Reinhardt inquired when did the District start paying customer arrears. She does not think the District should be paying for customer arrears at all.

Mr. Price responded because the existing program parameters are not defined, staff has been making a management decision. There was a recent request that involved a large amount. Staff requested advice from two trustees regarding how to handle the individual request. Staff is requesting for the Board to define the program parameters regarding past due balances.

Vice Chair Severt inquired how much is being considered as arrears.

Ms. Dowling responded Waste Management bills are billed on quarterly bases. Typically, when a customer applied for the District's Garbage Assistance Program the customer brings in their recent bill and the District has been paying their recent bill. She stated whenever she calls Waste Management prior to authorizing any payment, she confirms the customer's current balance to make sure that it matches the current bill. She stated an average monthly bill for a Sun Valley customer is approximately \$22 per month, \$66 per quarter.

Secretary Reinhardt inquired how far back has the District paid for arrears. She stated Waste Management will lien a property if the account becomes too far delinquent. She stated Waste Management never notified her that one of her renters was behind with their Waste Management bill and they threatened to lien her property.

Ms. Dowling responded recently there were two customers that come in with more than one quarter past due, one of the requests was over \$1,000.00 dollars past due. She stated staff consulted with management and only agreed to pay \$200.00 dollars of the customer's arrears. Waste Management had not yet lien this particular property, Waste Management had been threatening to lien the property for over a year.

Ms. Shipman inquired if the District didn't pay the full amount in the arrears, wouldn't any payment from the District be applied towards the arrears first.

Ms. Dowling responded she spoke with Waste Management regarding how the District's payments are applied towards an account. Waste Management indicated that any payment received from the District would be applied towards the customers overall balance. Waste Management does not dictate whether the payment is applied to arrears or current balance. She also stated the customer will continue to be assessed late penalties until the account is paid in full.

Chairperson Ainsworth stated she was under the impression that any customer who applied and was approved for the District's Garbage Assistance Program, Waste Management required that the customer's account must have a zero balance prior to changing the billing address over to the District.

Ms. Dowling responded that is not the case, Waste Management will accept payment from anybody on any account. She stated staff has been deciding whether or not to pay the customer's full arrears or a portion of the arrears, based on the total amount of the customer

arrears. She stated if the District chose to only pay a portion of the customer's arrears, Waste Management will apply that towards the overall account balance. Waste Management will then work out a payment plan with the customer for any difference on the account, while in the meantime the District would start paying for their current garbage bill only.

Mr. Price stated staff is only seeking direction from the Board if the District should be paying any of the arrears and if so, what would be the max amount to be paid.

Secretary Reinhardt made a motion for NO and None.

During discussion Treasurer Elliott stated the District uses Garbage Franchise fees to pay for the Garbage Assistance Program, including paying any arrears. If the District chooses not to pay the arrears, he thinks it will have an impact on the program. He doesn't understand how a customer can get so far behind, but would consider paying 1 quarter of arrears.

Mr. Price stated staff is requesting not pay any arrears to keep it simple and consistent.

Chairperson Ainsworth stated some families need assistance and that is what the Program was designed for.

Ms. Shipman provided legal advice, if the District is not going to allow for arrears, that as part of the enrollment process that the customer with arrears provide the District with a copy of an approved payment plan/agreement with Waste Management for any arrears.

Vice Chair Severt agrees with Ms. Shipman's advice regarding having a payment agreement in place prior to the District considering a customer for the Program. She stated she is not in favor of paying past due balances and all negotiations should be the responsibility of the customer.

Treasurer Elliott stated if the District decides to pay for arrears, it opens the door for abuse by Waste Management. He thinks the District having a program available is benefit enough for those in need. He agrees with Ms. Shipman's advice requiring the customer to provide the District with an approved payment plan/agreement with Waste Management at time of enrollment.

Secretary Reinhardt stated why it shouldn't be the District's responsibility to pay arrears, Waste Management should follow their own rules and lien the property owners for arrears.

Audience member Mr. Rider stated he agrees with Ms. Shipman's solution having a payment arrangement with Waste Management in place prior to enrolling new customers into the Garbage Assistance Program. The program is structured to help those in need and without a payment arrangement in place, the District would only be paying towards the customer's arrears and penalty fees. He also suggested the District to promote other organizations that offer assistance.

After further discussion, Secretary Reinhardt's motion died for the lack of a second.

Vice Chair Severt made a motion that a proof of a payment agreement for any arrears must be in place and authorize District staff to pay up to 1 quarter in arrears per customer and

amend the Garbage Assistance Program Form to include requirements and restrictions. Secretary Reinhardt seconded the motion.

During discussion Chairperson Ainsworth stated customers have the opportunity to pick what kind of garbage service they want from Waste Management and prices vary for services. She inquired if the District should consider a not to exceed dollar amount.

Both Secretary Reinhardt and Vice Chair Severt responded that authorizing staff to pay up to 1 quarter of arrears will solve the different rates as Chairperson stated.

Chairperson Ainsworth stated the District has a Dumpster Program, and the District pays more money for one dumpster for those in need. She stated most people who are requesting for garbage assistance are experiencing a financial crisis. Those individuals could potentially get a free dumpster from the District, but the District is limiting how much to pay for arrears. She does not believe it will be that much more money to pay for the entire arrears for most of the customers requesting for assistance.

Additional discussion ensued regarding how many quarters in arrears the District should be authorized to pay, as well as, the administration of the program by District staff.

After further discussion the motion carried unanimously.

Item# 13. Discussion and action to approve suggested article ideas for upcoming summer Pipeline newsletter.

Mr. Price provided a list of articles for consideration for the 2016 summer Pipeline newsletter. The suggested articles include; credit card charges explanation, swim lessons info and schedule, Community Service Award nominations information, Photo Contest rules and deadline, pool closure for the season and events, July 15 movie in the park, Recap of Dive Into Summer, water quality report, Trustee feature of Sandra Ainsworth. He stated there is one correction needed, the District decided on Goosebumps as the second movie in the park and not Hotel Transylvania.

Vice Chair Severt requested a message at the bottom of the newsletter regarding water conservation.

Treasurer Elliott requested an article for the fall newsletter promoting the Garbage Assistance Program.

Vice Chair Severt made a motion to approve the proposed articles for the summer Pipeline including water conservation. Secretary Reinhardt seconded the motion. The motion carried unanimously.

There were no public comments.

Item# 14. Discussion regarding expanding Sun Valley Pool hours of operation for the 2016 pool season.

Mr. Price provided a 2016 pool schedule showing the days the pool is open/closed including the swim lesson schedule. He also provided estimated costs to operate the pool and estimated revenue, showing the deficit for each day the pool is open. He stated if the Board chooses to add an additional day to the pool schedule, staff recommends Tuesday's based on historical attendance.

Chairperson Ainsworth stated she would recommend the pool be open for any holidays during the pool season. She stated after seeing the negative impact to the budget to be open additional days she recommends continuing with the original 2016 pool season June 9th through August 7th, 2016 open Wednesday through Sunday.

Treasurer Elliott stated the length of the pool season is upsetting to him. However, looking at a \$1,000 dollars per day deficit in pool revenue he still believes the District needs to increase marketing of the pool and alternative revenue sources. He also thinks the pool would be a good topic for a FlashVote survey.

Secretary Reinhardt directed staff to only include the July 4th as part of the 2016 pool season.

There were no public comments.

Item# 15. Update regarding the Mary Hansen Center with action to approve Lease Agreement with Community Services Agency for use of the Center for a Pre-K Program.

Mr. Price gave a brief update regarding the Mary Hansen Center. He reported Community Services Agency received federal funding from the State and have requested the use of the District's Mary Hansen Center for a Pre-K Program. He provided a copy of a Lease Agreement that includes rent and reimbursement for utilities. He stated some improvements will be made on site to include a secured playground and other security requirements as needed inside the Center.

Secretary Reinhardt commented she likes the agreement, but was concerned that the agreement didn't include a clause regarding subletting.

Ms. Shipman responded she has reviewed the agreement and even though there is not a specific clause regarding subletting, as it is written the District is still covered because Community Services Agency is only allowed to use the Center as agreed inside the agreement.

After further discussion Vice Chair Severt made a motion to approve the Lease Agreement with Community Services Agency. Chairperson Ainsworth seconded the motion. The motion carried unanimously.

There were no public comments.

Item# 16. Update regarding replacement of the Sun Valley Community Park marquee sign with possible direction to staff.

Mr. Price reported both he and Treasurer Elliott had a meeting with Washoe County regarding the new electronic marquee sign. He stated because of the new Washoe County Sign Code, there are some parameters/restrictions that the District is subject to. Because the new marquee is an electronic marquee, it has to be moved north towards the 7th Avenue and Sun Valley Boulevard intersection. The new site has some challenges due to existing landscaping and no existing power source. He reported the new electronic marquee has to be 200' feet away from residential and no more than 6' foot tall, from street level.

Mr. Price reported the alternative for consideration under article 218 of the Development Code, via the Sun Valley Area Plan; the District can petition Washoe County to change the Sun Valley Area Plan to exempt municipalities, commercial, or the petitioning group to get exemption from the distance requirement from residential. He reported the other alternative

for consideration is moving the location of the new electronic marquee to the District's Annex property. The District would need to get a Special Use Permit from Washoe County for the marquee.

Treasurer Elliott reported the ideal location for the new electronic marquee would be the existing location next to the pool. It would make sense to promote park activities at the park. He is not against the Annex location and likes the option of promoting events and activities in two locations. He stated the problem with petitioning to amend the Sun Valley Area Plan is that it is a very lengthy process and could become cumbersome, but he would be up for it. Amending the Sun Valley Area Plan would benefit a lot of commercial business and allowing them to put up signs, or bring their existing signs up to code.

Mr. Price reported Washoe County has verbally committed funds for the purchase of the new electronic marquee, up to \$20,000.00 dollars. Based on the new Washoe County Sign Code, the District has some challenges. Staff is requesting direction from the Board if they would like to consider using the Annex as a location for the new marquee as an option, pursue changing the Sun Valley Area Plan, or continue with a new location at the Community Park.

Vice Chair Severt is not opposed of the District's Annex as a location for the new marquee. The benefit of the Annex as a location is people would be able to get information while waiting at the traffic signal. She has concerns of moving the location north towards 7th Avenue and it being adjacent to the detention, it could potentially have more regulations the District would be subject to.

Audience member Mr. Rider commented putting a sign near the detention area most likely will not increase visibility. He thinks it might be more expensive to move it near the detention area because of the lack of power source. By moving it to the District's Annex it will increase visibility being in the core of the valley.

After some discussion Treasurer Elliott made a motion to pursue the replacement electronic marquee to be relocated at the District's Annex. Secretary Reinhardt seconded the motion. The motion carried unanimously.

Item# 17. Discussion and possible direction to staff to provide additional information, modify, or edit the District's FY 2016-2017 tentative budget.

Treasurer Elliott stated he has been contacted by a District customer who thinks that the District should provide more park amenities near the Skate Park. He wanted to make sure there were funds available if the District is able to provide additional amenities at the parks.

Mr. Price reported the District had a partnership with an organization that provided benches at the Sun Valley Community Park. Staff continues to look for additional partnership opportunities for additional amenities for the parks.

Vice Chair Severt commented with the Skate Park being located inside the detention area, the District is restricted on placing various amenities by the Skate Park. One of the restrictions is water fountains and that was one of the original restrictions made during the park development. She also requested update budget sheets to be distributed at the next meeting.

There were no public comments.

Item# 18. Legal report by Maddy Shipman.

None

Item# 19. Field report by Chris Melton.

Mr. Melton reported on the following;

- Jerry Hamilton recently received his Grade 4 Water Certification for distribution. Mr. Hamilton took it upon himself; there is no advancement for having a Grade 4 other than it being an asset to the District.
- The pool has been drained and cleaned; it is scheduled to be filled next week.
- The scoreboard posts have been installed at the Sun Valley Community Park baseball field.

Item# 20. Office report by Erin Dowling.

None

Item# 21. Manager's report by Darrin Price.

None

Item# 22. Public Comments.

None

Item# 23. Board Comments.

None

Item# 24. Future Agenda Items.

Audience member Mr. Rider commented the individual that is requesting to operate the Community Garden is ready to give a formal presentation at the next meeting. Mr. Rider will supply the contact information to Ms. Merritt.

Mr. Price reported the following items will be on the next agenda;

- Marketing update by Nancy Eklof
- Possible update by Washoe County Manager John Slaughter
- Discussion and consideration of video of District board meetings
- Possible discussion of Community Garden
- Discussion of the Washoe County Health Department Dumpster Program with parameters

Secretary Reinhardt requested scheduling a Tariff Hearing to adopt District Tariff Rule 20.

Item# 25. Adjournment.

Secretary Reinhardt made a motion to adjourn at 7:55 pm. Treasurer Elliott seconded the motion. The motion carried unanimously.

Approved by the SVGID Board of Trustees on May 12, 2016.

Minutes Prepared by:

Jennifer Merritt, Administrative Assistant