



**Sun Valley General Improvement District
Special Meeting Minutes of
February 12, 2015**

Board Members Present:

Sandra Ainsworth	Chair
Susan Severt	Vice Chair
Joseph Barstow	Treasurer
Garth Elliott	Trustee

Board Members Not Present:

Margaret Reinhardt	Secretary
--------------------	-----------

Staff Present:

Darrin Price	SVGID, General Manager
Mike Ariztia	SVGID, Public Works Director
Jennifer Merritt	SVGID, Administrative Assistant
Maddy Shipman	SVGID, Legal Counsel

Audience Members Present:

John Crabtree	Audience
Dwayne Daily	Audience
Linda Elliott	Audience
Michael Rider	Audience
Jim Severt	Audience
Glenda Walls	Audience
John Zavadil	Audience
Jeanne Herman	Washoe County Commissioner
Michael Drinkwater	Truckee Meadows Wastewater Reclamation Facility

The meeting of the Sun Valley General Improvement District was called to order by Chairperson Ainsworth at 6:00 pm in the Sun Valley District Administrative Building, 5000 Sun Valley Blvd., Sun Valley, NV.

Item# 1. Roll call and determination of a quorum.

Board members present; Chairperson Ainsworth, Vice Chair Severt, Treasurer Barstow, and Trustee Elliott. A quorum was present.

Item# 2. Pledge of Allegiance.

Led by Treasurer Barstow

Item# 3. Motion to approve the agenda.

Chairperson requested to postpone agenda item 10 presentation by Alpine Insurance until the next board meeting.

Trustee Elliott made a motion to approve the agenda as amended postponing agenda item 10. Treasurer Barstow seconded the motion. The motion carried unanimously.

Item# 4. Certify posting of the agenda.

Jennifer Merritt certified posting of the agenda.

Item# 5. Public comments for items not on the agenda.

Commissioner Jeanne Herman thanked those who helped her get elected into office. Ms. Herman also stated anyone can contact her if they are interested in having a Sun Valley Citizen Advisory Board.

Item# 6. Trustee/Manager's announcements, request for information, and statements relating to items not on the agenda.

None

Item# 7. Discussion and action to approve payables and customer refunds for February 12, 2015.

Treasurer Barstow gave a brief report of the accounts payable for February 12, 2015.

Treasurer Barstow made a motion to approve the accounts payable for February 12, 2015 in the total amount of \$110,907.63. Vice Chair Severt seconded the motion. After further discussion the motion carried unanimously.

Treasurer Barstow gave a brief report of the customer refunds for February 12, 2015.

Treasurer Barstow made a motion to approve the customer refunds for February 12, 2015 in the total amount of \$734.03. Vice Chair Severt seconded the motion. The motion carried unanimously.

Item# 8. Discussion and action to approve minutes of January 22, 2015.

Trustee Elliott made a motion to approve the minutes of January 22, 2015 as submitted. Vice Chair Severt seconded the motion. The motion carried unanimously.

Item# 9. Discussion and possible action regarding Jim Severt's request to consider a memorial plaque at the Sun Valley Babe Ruth Field for Austin "Monty" Michael Montgomery.

Jim Severt requested consideration of a memorial of Austin Montgomery. Mr. Severt stated Austin was from Cold Springs and recently passed away. Austin played baseball for the North Valley's Babe Ruth League. Mr. Severt stated he was Austin's coach last year, and he reported Austin was a great kid. As his coach he wanted to give Austin a memorial that would express Austin's love for baseball and how much he enjoyed playing on the Sun Valley fields. He stated he is not sure what kind of memorial would be appropriate at this time; he has considered a plaque or a bench.

Mr. Price stated he has spoken with Mr. Severt regarding memorial ideas and the funding of a memorial. He also reported if approved, there could be additional requests for future memorials. He would like to have consistency regarding memorials at the parks.

Mr. Severt responded he would pay for the memorial himself. He had suggested a bench with an engraving of a special saying. He would recommend having the bench sealed to assist with removing of any vandalism if needed. He stated users of the ball fields have a lot of respect for the parks and he hopes others would have respect not to vandalize a memorial.

Trustee Elliott inquired if Austin ever lived in Sun Valley.

Mr. Severt responded he is not aware if Austin ever lived in Sun Valley, he does know that he played baseball in Sun Valley for the past 3 – 4 years. He was a great ball player and aspiring athlete.

Vice Chair Severt disclosed that Mr. Severt is her brother. She stated she would like to see a drawing or an image of some kind of what Mr. Severt is proposing. And if approved, the District could use this memorial idea for future templates to remain consistent throughout the parks.

Audience member Michael Rider commented the Sun Valley Cal Ripken League will be honoring Austin during the opening ceremonies. He stated the Cal Ripken League on average loses a ball player once a year to injury or accident. He is not opposed of a memorial for Austin, but if approved he believes the District will get additional requests. He also suggested for consideration one memorial large enough to list multiple names of past players and the Cal Ripken League could potential help with funding through sponsorships.

Trustee Elliott stated he has some concerns, there are kids who play other sports such as soccer, football, or basketball that die each year. If the District is going to consider a memorialization for kids in Sun Valley it should include all kids. He also stated he thinks the memorial would be better placed in Cold Spring where Austin is from because he thinks it minimalizes the kids who live in Sun Valley. He would like to stand proud for the kids who live in Sun Valley.

Chairperson Ainsworth agrees she would like to stay consistent in the parks regarding memorials. She believes each request should be dealt with on a case by case basis.

Further discussion ensued regarding various types of memorials and should be all inclusive. Mr. Price suggested Mr. Severt come back with some options and pricing for consideration at future board meeting.

Item# 10. Presentation by Alpine Insurance regarding the District's Workers Comp for Volunteers.
Postponed

Item# 11. Presentation by Michael Drinkwater with Truckee Meadows Wastewater Reclamation Facility regarding existing and future costs and Capital Improvement Projects.
Michael Drinkwater, Plant Manager of Truckee Meadows Water Reclamation Facility (TMWRF) gave a brief presentation on TMWRF. Mr. Drinkwater reported TMWRF did experienced a violation in early 2013 with nitrogen surpluses, since then TMWRF has returned back to a compliant status in 2014. The plant continues to perform exceptionally well and there are no immediate concerns with any permit limit. However, TMWRF continues to have conversations with NDEP representatives regarding TMWRF's ultimate capacity to treat nitrogen.

Mr. Drinkwater reported TMWRF currently has 52 assigned positions. Two current vacancies are actively being recruited; one position is an IT systems analyst and the other position is a plant mechanic. He also reported TMWRF has 2 operator positions that are being held vacant at this time pending an analysis of the operations division and possible future needs as a result of a new treatment process.

Mr. Drinkwater gave a brief report on TMWRF budget. The 2014 working budget was \$22.5 million, this 2015 working budget is \$27.1 million and the proposed 2016 working budget is \$23.9 million. The proposed 2016 budget reflects an 11.9% reduction year-over year without causing any risk with operations.

Mr. Drinkwater reported on TMWRF Capital Improvement Plan. TMWRF is currently in its fourth year of an aggressive Capital Improvement Plan. He reported TMWRF is co-owned by both City of Reno and City of Sparks. City of Sparks performs the operation of the plant and City of Reno oversees the Capital Improvement Plan. The suggested Capital Improvement Plan investment for fiscal year 2016 is \$4.9 million in new projects. He stated the plant is almost 50 years old and provided a list of long needed repair projects in order to restore the plant to an efficient functionality. He stated last year TMWRF performed an analysis with Amersco an energy service company to help identify ways to reduce operational costs. He stated Nevada State Law requires that energy service companies do a number of things, one of which they have to guarantee the savings. After the energy company performed a financial audit, they recommended five projects to TMWRF. They reported if TMWRF implements the 5 projects that they would guarantee reducing the TMWRF operation cost by \$1.1 million per year, for 15 years. If after an audit those proposed savings don't manifest, Amersco has to write TMWRF a check for the difference. He reported based on the audit, TMWRF is replacing its lighting system to implement low efficiency light fixtures, replacing a co-generation engine, replacing some older equipment such as the centrifuges and cake-pumps. They are also implementing a new process known as Ostara that is a centrate treatment process.

Trustee Elliott applauds TMWRF for their reduction in expenditures. He inquired if TMWRF is compressing the methane and running a turbine or is it being processed with old combustion engines. He also inquired where the sludge is going. Mr. Elliott also stated last year Sun Valley GID was hit hard with repair expenses and he hopes that TMWRF evaluates the cost to replacing equipment vs. maintaining equipment.

Mr. Drinkwater responded currently TMWRF burns its methane and it goes into the atmosphere. He stated TMWRF will be installing a new internal combustion engine that will be connected to a generator so it will burn the methane and generate electricity for the generator. He reported currently the sludge is being transferred to landfill in Lockwood. TMWRF is actively seeking opportunities for beneficial reuse of the sludge. He stated TMWRF is also actively evaluating all of its equipment to determine if normal maintenance is sufficient or if a piece of equipment needs to be replaced. TMWRF never wants to ever experience another emergency similar to the ones that occurred in 2012 and 2013.

Vice Chair Severt inquired if TMWRF has a 1 ½ years left on its Capital Improvement Plan based on the information presented, will there be future CIP's.

Mr. Drinkwater responded currently TMWRF is working on an aggressive Capital Improvement Plan. He stated after the aggressive plan is completed he would anticipate the TMWRF would continue annually with some kind of Capital Improvement Plan but hopefully not as aggressive.

Mr. Price inquired about TMWRF permits and water quality standards for the tribe. He also inquired what plans TMWRF has if a power grid was to ever go out of service.

Mr. Drinkwater responded every wastewater treatment plant has a discharge permit. That permit is intended to be protective of the water quality standards. Truckee River has very high water quality standards and TMWRF has to make sure that all those standards are met prior to discharging into the river. He gave brief examples of treatment processes of wastewater and ground water. He also reported TMWRF is currently fed with 2 completely independent power feeds from the Greg Street substation. If one transformer was to go out, TMWRF can operate off the remaining transformer. If for some reason the Greg Street substation in its entirety was to go out, NV Energy could provide service from Patrick or Spanish Springs. Both of these options could affect services in those areas, NV Energy is investigating other solutions. He also explained TMWRF is currently is upgrading hook-ups (quick connect boxes) at the plant for generators to assist with outages.

Audience member Mike Rider inquired if TMWRF is prepared for the impacts of the new development of Tesla and Amazon.

Mr. Drinkwater responded TMWRF is prepared for future development. TMWRF is subject to a Total Maximum Daily Load of nitrogen that is allowed back in the Truckee River; TMWRF is allowed an average 500 pounds per day of nitrogen. The TMWRF's discharge content is a function and is required to meet those water quality standards. There has been an ongoing effort by NDEP reviewing the water quality standards. There are opportunities to provide treated water to various agencies for irrigation purposes, but currently there are no agencies requesting to use the treated water. TMWRF is also investigating alternative treatment process.

Additional discussion ensued regarding the use and/or discharge of treated water.

The Board thanked Mr. Drinkwater for his presentation.

Item# 12. Status update regarding the 2014 and extended Bighorn BMX lease agreement with possible direction to staff regarding same.

Mr. Ariztia gave a brief update regarding Bighorn BMX. Mr. Ariztia stated Bighorn BMX paid their 2014 rider fees and remain in good standing with the District. He stated Bighorn BMX is responsible for paying for utilities, they were recently sent an invoice for the remainder of the 2014 season. Bighorn BMX is planning on performing track maintenance in February and eventually opening the track sometime in March weather permitting. He also reported a Gold Cup Qualifier has been scheduled for April 25, 2015 at the track. He reminded the Board that currently there is not a yearly agreement in place for the 2015 BMX season. The Board approved to extend Bighorn BMX 2014 agreement until the end of April 2015. The Board will need to consider extending the existing agreement to Bighorn BMX, sign a new agreement for the remainder of the 2015 BMX season or close the Bighorn agreement and determine how the Board would like to proceed with the BMX track.

Chairperson Ainsworth inquired if Bighorn BMX is current with payment of utilities.

Mr. Ariztia responded there is a current bill still pending, during the meeting with Bighorn BMX staff found a potential discrepancy on our part regarding a payment that may not have been recorded and staff is looking into it. Staff is implementing a billing process where the District will invoice on a quarterly basis. They have been invoiced in the last month and staff has been assured payment will be made.

Audience member John Crabtree stated he is representing the community and Battle Born GHP BMX Team. Mr. Crabtree stated he has several questions of the District Board specifically to the District's September 25, 2014 meeting. The District minutes reflect a motion made by Trustee Severt "Trustee Severt made a motion to act Section II of the signed Lease Agreement and have staff send correspondence to Mr. Proctor to terminate the agreement as of December 15, 2014 and making him aware that the Board is not pleased of his non-profit status. The motion seconded by Vice Chair Elliott. Following discussion the motion carried unanimously. During discussion Secretary Reinhardt stated she is not disgruntled of the situation like other members. She does not feel slighted in anyway, no one has been hurt by the non-profit status. She would like to make sure that Mr. Proctor knows he can submit his application for the 2015 track operator as long as he meets all the District requirements." Mr. Crabtree inquired what happened to this decision. He was anticipating to come back to present to the District Board his ideas and plans for the 2015 BMX season, but then he learned that during the November 2014 board meeting the Board approved to extend Bighorn BMX current agreement until the end of April 2015. He inquired what happened to opening the track operation to other applicants, including the existing operator.

Mr. Ariztia responded Mr. Proctor, representative of Bighorn BMX requested to be on the District's agenda to be considered as the 2015 BMX season track operator. During that meeting the Board only approved to extend his agreement through April of 2015 so the track can remain open while staff works on amending the agreement.

Mr. Crabtree commented he thought based on the motion that was made during the September 25th meeting that the agreement was null and void.

Chairperson Ainsworth commented she thought the agreement was null and void if Bighorn BMX did not comply.

Ms. Shipman stated it was not null and void.

Mr. Crabtree expressed his concerns regarding the extension of the current BMX Agreement and the current track operator. He also expressed his concern regarding the District's Board actions regarding the matter.

Ms. Shipman commented the agenda item is an update regarding Bighorn BMX activities. She stated staff will be seeking direction at a future meeting if the Board would like to advertise to solicit proposals for a track operator or if the Board would like to approve Bighorn BMX to fulfill the remainder of the 2015 BMX season with the implementation of a new agreement.

Audience member Dwayne Daily stated he is resident of Sparks and has lived here all his life. His father was a big part of getting the track approved when the park was owned by Washoe County. He stated BMX has had a great impact on him and his life skills and thinks those life skills are vital for the community especially today. He stated he owns a bike shop and sees firsthand the parents and the kids when they come in and ask what is going on with Bighorn BMX track. Some of the questions he is asked is how the money is being used and why the money is not being put back into the track for improvements.

Chairperson Ainsworth stated the District is the property owner only and is not involved with the operations of the track. She encouraged directing all questions to the current track operator for answers.

Trustee Elliott stated anyone is welcome to attend District's board meetings and express their concerns during public comments.

Item# 13. Review and possible direction to staff regarding a revised BMX Lease Agreement.

Mr. Ariztia provided a revised BMX Lease Agreement for consideration. He stated the agreement can be used for both for-profit and non-profit organizations. The organization will still be required to submit proof of a business licenses or proof of registration of a non-profit in good standing filed with the Secretary of State. He continued and reported on the various sections that were amended; Section 3D from the current agreement was removed regarding the Lessor's responsibility to notify neighbors surrounding the park about nighttime or lighting activities at the track. Paragraph C from the current agreement was broken into two parts; the first part clarifies the District's responsibility regarding maintenance of the site and the second part removing the word "patrol" to instead mean monitor the site for compliance. Section 4G clarifies that the Lessee must be an approved Track Operator by ABA and maintains the track in compliance with standards and guidelines established by the ABA. He stated this requirement was added because currently ABA is the only bicycle organization within the United States that is recognized for organized racing and riders racing competitively for points.

Audience member Jim Severt responded there is another sanctioning body known as USA Cycling. However, USA Cycling currently does not have any sanctioned tracks in the US.

Mr. Ariztia continued and reported Section 4J was removed because it was a duplicate item. The new Section 4L discusses the established rider fees and additional language was added to require the equivalent of a deposit on or before July 1st and later reconciliation of amounts owed to the District. This is because there is no preregistration for BMX when it comes to local races. (As an example baseball leagues have registration to determine how many players there are for a season.)The new Section 4M changes the Lessee supplying the District with monthly moto reports. These moto reports can be used to assist crosscheck annual attendance reports that are supplied at the end of the year. The current Section 6 was removed because it has been the practice of the District to charge leasing organizations a per player fee and not a rental fee for any league use. The per rider/player fee is in lieu of a rental fee. The new Section 10 clarified responsibility for attorney fees and costs in the event of litigation and added a requirement that mediation be attempted prior to any institution of legal action.

Trustee Elliott stated Section 3 identifies that District will provide a dumpster and inquired where the dumpster is located. He also inquired how the District monitors the dumpster from being used by the public.

Mr. Ariztia responded the dumpster is located across from the Boys and Girls Club. The dumpster is locked at all times with a lock provided by Waste Management.

Mr. Price also stated the dumpster is monitored by a security camera. This was installed after having complications of dumping by the public.

Trustee Elliott inquired if the District is currently capturing race data and attendance. He stated the Board has heard concerns of the lack of attendance at the track and he would like to get the best use of the track. He also inquired if the agreement is an at will relationship and the District having the opportunity to cancel the agreement at any time.

Mr. Ariztia responded the District currently gets an annual report listing the names of all the riders that attended the track. He stated staff has prior year attendance reports to perform an end of year attendance comparison. Staff is requesting additional monthly attendance (weekly moto sheets) reports to crosscheck the annual reports.

Ms. Shipman also responded and stated the District must give a 30 day notice for termination.

Audience member John Crabtree stated ABA will not approve a track operator until a track has been secured prior to requesting to become an operator.

Additional discussion ensued regarding the process of becoming an approved ABA track operator.

Ms. Shipman stated the District could approve an agreement with a potential operator pending approval by the ABA. That operator would have to supply proof by ABA prior to signing the agreement.

After further discussion Treasurer Barstow made a motion to approve the revised BMX Agreement as presented by staff. Trustee Elliott seconded the motion. The motion carried unanimously.

Item# 14. Discussion and update by General Manager, Darrin Price, with possible direction to staff regarding legislation being tracked by the District pending in the Nevada Legislature.

Mr. Price provided a list of BDR's and Assembly/Senate Bills currently being tracked by the District for the 2015 Legislative Session. The District is currently tracking 107 bills and 41 of them have already been heard by a committee. As of February 6th an additional 55 new BDR's were added making a total of 1013 BDR's registered for the 2015 session. He briefly reported on some BDR's of interest; BDR 960 – Revises provisions governing eminent domain, BDR 966 & 969 – Revises provisions relating to elections, BDR 990 – Revises provisions relating to occupational safety. He stated BDR language is very limited, it only offers a generic topic of interest. Staff will continue to follow the various BDR's of interest to see what revisions are actually being proposed once they have been heard by a committee and/or become a bill. He also briefly reported on some Bills of interest; AB 135 – Revises provisions relating to the schedules and retention of disposal of State records, SB 70 – Revises provisions governing meetings of public bodies, SB 28 – Clarifies provisions governing the fees that may be charged for providing copies of certain public records, SB 30 – Authorizes a board of county commissioners to withhold longevity pay of certain elected county officers under certain circumstances, SB 65 – Revises provisions relating to the use of water relating rural water and regarding vested water rights, AB 118 – Revises provisions governing residency requirements for candidates for public office, SB 11 – Grants power to local governments to perform certain acts or duties which are not prohibited or limited by statute, AB 63 – Clarifies that certain candidates who are elected despite ending their campaign must file with the Secretary of State certain campaign finance reports, AB 25 – Revises provisions governing residential construction tax,

AB 19 - Revises provisions governing the timing of the adoption of tentative budgets by certain local governments. He stated he will continue to provide updates throughout the session. He also stated the Board at any time can advise him of a bill they don't feel needs to be tracked or of a bill that they would like to be tracked. Mr. Price reported Senator Debbie Smith is doing well after her recent surgery and the District wished her the best.

There were no public comments.

Item# 15. Discussion and possible action regarding District representation and strategy for the 2015 Legislative session.

Trustee Elliott stated he can't speak on behalf of Secretary Reinhardt but he believes that she shares the concerns as him regarding Mr. Price representing the District during the Legislative session. He recalls last year when the Board chose not to renew the District's lobbyist agreement, there was discussion regarding the District receiving tracking information from other entities during the sessions on behalf of other local governments. He has some concern with Mr. Price tracking bills and/or representing the District because it is redundant. He stated he and Secretary Reinhardt don't recall authorizing Mr. Price to represent the District as a paid lobbyist especially after the Board already approved not to continue with the existing lobbyist contract. He stated he believes the Board agreed the District needs representation on a casual basis, but does not feel a professional lobbyist is needed at this time. He stated the District currently has Ms. Shipman and Nevada Rural Water Association to track various bills related to the District. It was his impression that the Board asked Mr. Price to only fill in the gaps as needed because the District was not looking for a professional lobbyist.

Mr. Price responded there is a huge difference between a paid and non-paid lobbyist. According to NRS 218H, "A paid lobbyist is defined as a person who receives compensation for engaging in lobbying activities. The compensation need not be paid solely for the act of lobbying, but may be paid for other tasks in addition to lobbying. A nonpaid lobbyist receives no compensation for lobbying. Therefore, a regular employee of a company who comes to the legislature to lobby on behalf of the company is a paid lobbyist. "Compensation" will be interpreted to mean the payment of a wage, not merely the reimbursement of expenses." He stated he confirmed this with Rick Coombs, Chief Executive Officer of the Legislative Counsel Bureau. He also reported an individual can track up to 10 bills for free; the District has over 100 bills that are currently being tracked that are related to the District. Anybody can testify in front of the committees and you can lobby your representatives for your district only. The moment an individual wants to lobby others, that individual must be a registered lobbyist. Mr. Price reported the Board broke ties with the District's long time lobbyist that cost the District \$19,800 annually. Mr. Hillerby lobbied on behalf of the District throughout the year, not just during session. He recalls during that meeting when the Board acted not to renew the lobbyist agreement, the Board directed the General Manager would act as the District's lobbyist on a more passive participation. He stated when he does not have the expertise or he needs additional assistance, he has called for assistance using resources that are available within the District's budget for consultants to make sure the District remains protected.

Treasurer Barstow inquired if Mr. Price knew the amount that it would cost the District to use a consultant(s) to assist during the session.

Mr. Price responded he could not give a specific amount; it all depends on the amount of bills that would impact the District and the topic of the bill. He is knowledgeable in some

areas, but potentially could need assistance pending on a topic. He assured the Board he would not spend \$19,800 during the session. He reported he has been tracking his time and the amount of resources spent on the session to give the Board a good idea how much it costs the District for lobbying. He is required to submit monthly expense reports with the State related to lobbying.

Treasurer Barstow inquired if having a full time lobbyist, would they be able to track more bills on behalf of the District.

Mr. Price responded a full time lobbyist would be in Carson City attending the session almost every day. They would be more intimate with a bill(s) and its progression. A lobbyist on behalf of the District would focus on any and all bills directed by the District. He also stated a full time lobbyist is also speaking with senators and assembly members daily regarding the District. He stated he does not have that luxury of meeting with members because he is only acting as a part time lobbyist.

Trustee Elliott stated he wished he had last year's minutes to refer to. He does not recall when the Board asked Mr. Price to watch over the session or drive to Carson City and act as the District's lobbyist. He recalls the Board not approving a lobbyist for this session, especially with a bunch of freshman. He stated Mr. Price was hired to be the General Manager of the District not as a lobbyist.

Ms. Shipman stated if an individual is employed full time within a private or public company and that individual intends to speak with more than just the legislators for their district at any time and/or do more than just appear before a committee with no individual conversations whatsoever with legislators, that individual must pay a registration fee. She stated the General Manager is the logical person, other than a board member to be present for support, to talk with a committee regarding a bill that could potentially impact the District. She stated Mr. Price can track the bills throughout the session, but his presence maybe necessary at times in Carson City in support of other groups that represent all General Improvement Districts.

After further discussion it was directed to continue discussion at the next meeting regarding the District's representation and strategies during the legislative session.

Item# 16. Financial report by William Short.

None

Item# 17. Legal report by Maddy Shipman.

None

Item# 18. Field report by Mike Ariztia.

None

Item# 19. Manager's report by Darrin Price.

- The field crew continues to work at Gepford Park on the new concession building; the sidewalks and handicap ramp have been completed. There is minimal landscaping needed around the concession building.
- He provided both October and November financial statements for informational purposes.

- Washoe County is currently negotiating with Waste Management regarding the Garbage Franchise Agreement. He stated Waste Management has not increased their rates since 2011. He also stated he is positive that the new Franchise Agreement will include single-stream recycling.
- On February 2, 2015 the District went live with the online payment option. The District has received 54 online payments. He anticipates an increase in use of the online payment feature once everyone receives their announcement regarding the feature.
- He wished Joseph Barstow a happy birthday on behalf of the District.

Item# 20. Public Comments.

Michael Rider commented he is personally interested in supporting a Citizens Advisory Board for Sun Valley and would be interested in being a member of the board. He also stated Cal Ripken received donations from Tholl Fence for materials and installation for the t-ball fields at Gepford Park. He will work with Mr. Ariztia regarding permitting and installation.

Item# 21. Board Comments.

Trustee Elliott commented he spoke at the County Commission meeting to request for the Sun Valley Citizens Advisory Board to be brought back as promised several months ago by one of the Commissioners. He believes Commissioner Herman is in support a Sun Valley Citizen Advisory Board. He stated the Citizen Advisory Board is very valuable with the planning approval process. The past Citizen Advisory Board was active, well attended by the community, and a lot of representation by Washoe County. He encouraged anyone who is interested in the Citizen Advisory Board to contact him or Commissioner Herman.

Item# 22. Future Agenda Items.

Mr. Price reported the following items will be on the next agenda;

- Request to schedule a tariff hearing for April 2015
- Possible discussion regarding the Washoe County Lease Agreement
- Possible discussion regarding additional use of Garbage Franchise Fees
- Presentation of spring newsletter article topics
- Presentation by Alpine Insurance regarding insurance coverage for District volunteers
- Customer account online portal presentation
- Possible continuation of Mr. Severt's request for a memorial
- Continuation regarding District representation and strategy for the 2015 Legislative Session
- Trustee Elliott requested an update by Nancy Eklof and consideration to discuss strategies regarding public relations for the District

Item# 23. Adjournment.

Vice Chair Severt made a motion to adjourn at 8:35 pm. Trustee Elliott seconded the motion. The motion carried unanimously.

Approved by the SVGID Board of Trustees on February 26, 2015.

Minutes Prepared by:
Jennifer Merritt, Administrative Assistant